



DATA FOR *PROGRESS*


PROGRESSIVE FUTURE OF THE PARTY REPLICATION

Ethan Winter, Senior Advisor
Sean McElwee, Executive Director
Julian Brave NoiseCat, Vice President of Policy & Strategy

As part of our January omnibus survey, which we conducted with a using an entirely different methodology. This poll was conducted by Data for Progress using Civiis analytics infrastructure. We retested support for a deportation moratorium, Medicare for All, a massive investment in affordable housing, and a 70 percent marginal tax rate. We hoped to replicate our findings from our December poll. In this appendix, we present and compare the results from both polls.

Comparison of Polling Results

All Voters



Data for Progress / YouGov Blue


DATA FOR PROGRESS

On our four policy questions, our poll yielded a 20-point margin of support for a deportation moratorium, a 20-point margin of support for Medicare for All, a 26-point margin of support for a massive investment in affordable housing, and a 24-point margin of support for a 70 percent marginal tax rate. This compares to the results from the December YouGov Blue poll, which found the following margins of support for the same questions: 12 points, 3 points, 21 points, and 11 points, respectively.

Next, we compared the results from each poll while looking at the numbers just among Democratic voters. Both polls found that Democratic voters overwhelmingly support these four policies, and the results support that different methodologies find similar results: a deportation moratorium had 9-point margin of difference between polls; Medicare for All, a 2-point margin; a massive investment in affordable housing, a 7-point margin), and a 70 percent marginal tax rate, a 6-point margin.

Comparison of Polling Results Among Democrats

Democratic Voters



Data for Progress / YouGov Blue


DATA FOR PROGRESS

The next four charts provide a comparison of the YouGov Blue and Data for Progress results looking at responses by all voters (our overall sample in each poll) and broken out by age.

In general, both polls found support for a deportation moratorium across various age groups. In each poll, younger voters were supportive of the policy but also more unsure than other age groups. The most notable difference is our Data for Progress poll found substantial support for the policy among older voters (i.e., those over the age of fifty), whereas in our YouGov Blue poll found voters in similar age groups were more split on the issue.

Support for a Deportation Moratorium

By age




Data for Progress / YouGov Blue

DATA FOR PROGRESS

In both polls we found that support for Medicare for All appears strongly correlated to age. The Data for Progress poll found that Medicare for All is most unpopular with voters over the age of seventy (-13 points on net) and enjoys considerable margins of support among voters aged eighteen through twenty-nine (+34 points on net), voters in their thirties (+40 points on net), and voters in their forties (+32 points on net). In contrast, the YouGov Blue much more clearly delineates a pattern where Medicare for All is popular with younger voters, becoming less popular with age.

Support for Medicare for All

By age




Data for Progress / YouGov Blue

DATA FOR PROGRESS

Our January poll found that a massive investment in affordable housing is particularly popular among younger voters. Among voters aged eighteen through twenty-nine, the policy is supported by a margin of 34 points, and this margin rises to 37 points among voters in their thirties. Both the Data for Progress and YouGov polls illustrate a similar pattern. The policy is most popular with younger voters with that popularity decreasing with age.

Support for a Massive Investment in Affordable Housing

By age




Data for Progress / YouGov Blue

DATA FOR PROGRESS

Unlike our YouGov Blue poll, our poll found that a 70 percent marginal tax rate was above water with all age groups. Nonetheless, the underlying structure of responses was the same in both polls: The policy is most popular with younger voters—i.e., voters between the ages of eighteen and forty-four—with net support decreasing with age.

Support for a Seventy Percent Tax Rate

By age




Data for Progress / YouGov Blue

DATA FOR PROGRESS

Turning to our polling on preference between capitalism and socialism, we found some differences between the YouGov and Data for Progress polling, though many of the same underlying patterns were consistent.

Capitalism or Socialism

Do you prefer capitalism or socialism?





Data for Progress / YouGov Blue / Civis

DATA FOR PROGRESS

Comparison of Capitalism or Socialism

By party ID and their leaners



Data for Progress / YouGov Blue

DATA FOR PROGRESS


While our results on socialism diverge from our YouGov Blue results, both findings fit well within other what other polls have found. Gallup, for example, found in 2018 that Democrats have a more positive view of socialism than capitalism. In 2019, Pew found that 65 percent of Democrats have a favorable view of socialism. Axios, meanwhile, found in 2019 that the majority of members of Generation Z (which they defined as voters aged eighteen through twenty-four) prefer socialism to capitalism.

Comparison of Capitalism or Socialism


Democratic voters by age



YouGov Blue



Data for Progress



Data for Progress / YouGov Blue

DATA FOR **PROGRESS**

METHODOLOGY

YouGov

On behalf of Data for Progress, YouGov Blue conducted a survey of US registered voters, using YouGov's online panel. The survey included US registered voters and were weighted to be representative of the population by age, race/ethnicity, sex, education, US Census region, and 2016 US presidential vote choice. This survey was fielded from December 27 through December 30, 2019, and surveyed 1,025 registered voters. The mean of the weights is 1.7, and they range from 0.2 to 6.2.

Data for Progress

5171 likely voters fielded from 1/9/2020 through 1/12/2020. Fielded using Civis infrastructure, and weighted to represent likely voters, using education, race, gender, past vote history, and other relevant characteristics, by Civis Analytics.

EDITED BY

Andrew Mangan, Senior Editor, Data for Progress

DESIGN BY

Billie Kanfer, Designer, Data for Progress

COVER PHOTO

twinsfisch/Unsplash