

From September 17 to 23, 2021, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 310 likely voters in Michigan's 8th Congressional District using SMS and web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, and voting history. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error is ±6 percentage points.

N=310 unless otherwise specified. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

This report is filtered to respondents: **MI_008**

		D	I	R	
[1] The U.S. Senate recently passed the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (formerly known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Bill) which will invest \$550 billion to repair roads and bridges, modernize and expand transportation infrastructure, replace lead drinking water pipes, and upgrade our power infrastructure.	Strongly support	44%	77%	36%	11
	Somewhat support	23	19	34	18
	Somewhat oppose	7	0	6	17
	Strongly oppose	19	1	18	44
	Don't know	6	3	6	10
	SUPPORT (TOTAL)	67%	96%	70%	29
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	26	1	24	61	
SUPPORT (NET)	+41	+95	+46	-32	
Do you support or oppose the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act?					
		D	I	R	
[2] While the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act makes investments in physical infrastructure, many lawmakers are calling for Congress to make additional investments to address climate change and extreme weather, create clean energy jobs, and reduce pollution.	Very important	39%	78%	26%	3
	Somewhat important	24	18	37	16
	Not very important	7	2	4	17
	Not at all important	30	1	33	64
	IMPORTANT (TOTAL)	63%	96%	63%	19
NOT IMPORTANT (TOTAL)	37	3	37	81	
IMPORTANT (NET)	+26	+93	+26	-62	
How important or not important do you think it is that lawmakers in Congress make these additional investments?					
		D	I	R	
[3] Some lawmakers have proposed a new \$3.5 trillion Build Back Better plan that would expand Medicare benefits, lower healthcare costs and prescription drug prices, and expand access to affordable caretaking services for children and the elderly. This plan would also take action to address climate change and extreme weather, create clean energy jobs, and reduce pollution.	Strongly support	38%	71%	27%	7
	Somewhat support	20	21	30	9
	Somewhat oppose	5	2	6	6
	Strongly oppose	34	2	32	76
	Don't know	3	3	4	2
	SUPPORT (TOTAL)	58%	92%	57%	16
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	39	4	38	82	
SUPPORT (NET)	+19	+88	+19	-66	
Do you support or oppose this proposal?					

[4] Would you be more or less likely to vote for a candidate for Congress who **opposes** the Build Back Better plan that would expand Medicare benefits, lower healthcare costs and prescription drug prices, expand access to affordable caretaking services for children and the elderly, take action to address climate change, create clean energy jobs, and reduce pollution?

		D	I	R
Much more likely	34%	15%	29%	64%
Somewhat more likely	8	6	13	5
Somewhat less likely	9	13	8	4
Much less likely	37	61	29	13
Wouldn't affect my choice	13	5	22	14
MORE LIKELY (TOTAL)	42%	21%	42%	69%
LESS LIKELY (TOTAL)	46	74	37	17
MORE LIKELY (NET)	-4	-53	+5	+52

[5] The Build Back Better plan is a \$3.5 trillion proposal that would expand Medicare benefits, lower healthcare costs and prescription drug prices, and expand access to affordable caretaking services for children and the elderly. This plan would also take action to address climate change and extreme weather, create clean energy jobs, and reduce pollution.

Some say that we should **increase** the amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan to provide more help to working families, address our economic problems, and take action on climate change now that will pay off in the long run.

Some say that we should **decrease** the amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan because we need to rein in government spending, even if it means cutting investments to help working families and address climate change.

Do you think lawmakers should increase, decrease, or keep the same amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan?

		D	I	R
Lawmakers should increase the amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan	33%	61%	22%	7%
Lawmakers should keep the same amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan	28	35	36	9
Lawmakers should decrease the amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan	39	4	42	84

[6] Which statement comes closer to your view, even if neither is exactly right?

		D	I	R
Lawmakers should pass the Build Back Better plan to cut pollution, lower energy costs, create new clean energy jobs, and tackle climate change to ensure we leave a sustainable world for future generations.	52%	89%	47%	8%
Lawmakers should not pass the Build Back Better plan. Government spending is out of control, and we should not raise the national debt and spend more taxpayer money on this proposal.	41	6	41	87
Don't know	7	5	12	5