


From April 1 to 4, 2022, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,253 likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, and voting history. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error is  $\pm 3$  percentage points.

Characteristics of weighted sample:

Democrat 37%   Independent 28%   Republican 35%
Male 47%   Female 53%
Over-45 65%   Under-45 35%
College 39%   No College 61%
White 74%   Black 11%   Asian 3%   Other race 2%   American Indian or Alaska Native 1%
Latino/a 12%
Do you approve or disapprove of the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president? 44% Approve   54% Disapprove



NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,253 unless otherwise specified.

[1] What best describes you?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Has owned stock or real estate	Never owned stock or real estate
I've never owned or sold any investments like stocks or real estate	45	47	52	37	55	33	55	39	57	25	58	42	49	0	100
I've owned or sold investments like stocks or real estate	49	45	45	56	35	64	36	56	35	71	34	53	37	100	0
Don't know	6	8	3	7	9	3	9	5	8	4	8	5	14	0	0
Weighted N	1,253	458	353	442	664	589	443	810	764	489	143	926	155	614	559

[2] Let's imagine a household that **owns stock that increases in value** during the year. The household **does not sell the stock** and continues holding it through the end of the year. What best describes your understanding of the yearly tax owed by this household?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Has owned stock or real estate	Never owned stock or real estate
This household owes no tax on this stock	48	47	46	50	37	60	40	52	40	61	34	51	42	62	37
This household must pay a tax on the increase in value of this stock	28	27	31	27	30	26	34	25	30	25	37	26	34	28	30
Don't know	24	26	23	23	33	14	26	23	30	14	28	24	24	9	34
Weighted N	1,253	458	353	442	664	589	443	810	764	489	143	926	155	614	559

[3] Do you think that American billionaires should be paying more or less in taxes — or are they already paying the right amount?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Has owned stock or real estate	Never owned stock or real estate
Paying more	66	78	69	53	69	64	62	69	65	68	65	67	56	63	73
Already paying the right amount	19	9	17	29	15	23	20	18	17	21	17	19	22	24	13
Paying less	4	4	5	4	4	4	6	3	5	3	7	4	5	5	4
Don't know	11	9	9	14	12	9	12	10	12	8	11	10	16	9	10
Weighted N	1,253	458	353	442	664	589	443	810	764	489	143	926	155	614	559

**[4]** Currently, people pay federal taxes on their income from wages each year. They do not pay taxes on their income from investments, such as corporate stock, unless they sell the asset. Some officials are proposing that households worth more than \$100 million pay taxes on all of their income, including the increase in value of assets like corporate stock whether or not the asset is sold.

Do you support or oppose this proposal?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Has owned stock or real estate	Never owned stock or real estate
Strongly support	25	37	21	17	28	23	24	26	27	23	24	26	21	22	31
Somewhat support	29	33	29	26	32	27	32	28	31	27	36	28	29	28	31
Somewhat oppose	15	11	16	18	14	15	14	16	13	17	14	16	13	17	13
Strongly oppose	19	8	22	28	12	27	14	21	14	26	11	20	21	28	10
Don't know	11	11	12	12	15	8	15	9	15	6	15	11	16	4	15
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	54	70	50	43	60	50	56	54	58	50	60	54	50	50	62
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	34	19	38	46	26	42	28	37	27	43	25	36	34	45	23
SUPPORT (NET)	+20	+51	+12	-3	+34	+8	+28	+17	+31	+7	+35	+18	+16	+5	+39
Weighted N	1,253	458	353	442	664	589	443	810	764	489	143	926	155	614	559

**[5]** Investment income that comes from an increase in the value of assets like corporate stock is currently taxed only when the asset is sold. And when these assets are passed on to heirs, the gains will never be taxed.

Some officials are proposing that households worth over \$100 million pay a minimum 20% tax on all of their income, including all gains in investments, even if those assets aren't sold. This would apply only to the 20,000 wealthiest households.

Do you support or oppose this proposal requiring households worth over \$100 million to pay yearly taxes on all of their income, including the increased value of assets like corporate stock?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Has owned stock or real estate	Never owned stock or real estate
Strongly support	30	43	26	18	32	27	26	31	30	29	32	30	20	28	34
Somewhat support	29	29	29	28	32	25	31	27	31	25	36	28	28	26	32
Somewhat oppose	15	14	14	17	13	18	17	15	13	18	13	15	17	19	12
Strongly oppose	16	5	16	26	10	22	11	18	11	22	7	18	15	24	7
Don't know	11	9	13	11	14	7	15	8	14	6	13	9	20	4	14
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	59	72	55	46	64	52	57	58	61	54	68	58	48	54	66
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	31	19	30	43	23	40	28	33	24	40	20	33	32	43	19
SUPPORT (NET)	+28	+53	+25	+3	+41	+12	+29	+25	+37	+14	+48	+25	+16	+11	+47
Weighted N	1,253	458	353	442	664	589	443	810	764	489	143	926	155	614	559

**[6]** (Asked of respondents who answered "Strongly support" or "Somewhat support") Some say that taxing billionaires' income from investments such as corporate stock would be a giant tax increase that will strangle the economy by taking money away from job creators. The taxes will go to wasteful government spending that will lead to increased debt and inflation. This new tax would enormously complicate the tax code and create huge investment distortions. And these new taxes always start out applying to a few and then spread to millions. Furthermore, some legal experts say this tax proposal will be declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court.

Knowing what you know now, do you support or oppose requiring households worth over \$100 million to pay yearly taxes on all of their income, including the increased value of assets like corporate stock? (N=731)

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Has owned stock or real estate	Never owned stock or real estate
Strongly support	40	51	37	26	38	43	35	42	36	46	33	40	43	43	39
Somewhat support	41	35	45	46	41	41	44	39	41	40	40	42	36	41	39
Somewhat oppose	11	8	10	19	13	10	12	11	13	9	12	11	15	11	11
Strongly oppose	3	2	1	4	3	2	3	2	2	3	8	2	4	1	3
Don't know	5	4	7	5	6	4	7	5	8	2	8	5	3	4	7
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	81	86	82	72	79	84	79	81	77	86	73	82	79	84	78
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	14	10	11	23	16	12	15	13	15	12	20	13	19	12	14
SUPPORT (NET)	+67	+76	+71	+49	+63	+72	+64	+68	+62	+74	+53	+69	+60	+72	+64
Weighted N	731	330	197	204	423	308	254	476	466	265	96	536	75	329	371

**[7]** (Asked of respondents who answered "Strongly oppose" or "Somewhat oppose") Some say that taxing billionaires' full income would make the tax code fairer, requiring America's wealthiest households to pay as they profit each year, just as workers pay as they earn their wages. In a typical year, billionaires pay an average of just 8 percent of their total income in taxes, while a firefighter or teacher can pay double that tax rate. Furthermore, the ultra-wealthy can use cheap loans backed by their stocks to avoid selling assets and paying taxes on their investment gains. Once they pass along the gains to their heirs, those gains wind up never being taxed.

Knowing what you know now, do you support or oppose requiring households worth over \$100 million to pay yearly taxes on all of their income, including the increased value of assets like corporate stock? (N=386)

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	White	Has owned stock or real estate	Never owned stock or real estate
Strongly support	8	15	9	5	12	6	10	7	11	5	6	7	10
Somewhat support	26	43	23	21	30	24	34	23	31	22	23	22	36
Somewhat oppose	26	23	27	27	27	25	26	26	27	25	27	25	31
Strongly oppose	38	20	39	46	28	44	30	42	30	45	42	44	22
Don't know	2	0	2	2	3	1	0	2	1	2	2	2	1
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	34	58	32	26	42	30	44	30	42	27	29	29	46
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	64	43	66	73	55	69	56	68	57	70	69	69	53
SUPPORT (NET)	-30	+15	-34	-47	-13	-39	-12	-38	-15	-43	-40	-40	-7
Weighted N	386	88	109	189	148	238	121	265	190	197	306	262	108

**[8]** (All respondents of previous three questions merged back together after messaging)

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Has owned stock or real estate	Never owned stock or real estate
Strongly support	26	39	23	14	27	25	23	27	25	27	26	25	27	26	28
Somewhat support	32	33	32	30	33	31	34	30	33	30	31	32	29	31	33
Somewhat oppose	15	10	14	20	14	15	14	15	14	15	15	15	13	17	14
Strongly oppose	13	6	13	21	8	19	10	15	9	20	10	15	10	20	6
Don't know	15	12	18	15	19	10	19	12	19	8	18	13	22	6	19
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	58	72	55	44	60	56	57	57	58	57	57	57	56	57	61
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	28	16	27	41	22	34	24	30	23	35	25	30	23	37	20
SUPPORT (NET)	+30	+56	+28	+3	+38	+22	+33	+27	+35	+22	+32	+27	+33	+20	+41
Weighted N	1,253	458	353	442	664	589	443	810	764	489	143	926	155	614	559