

# DATA FOR **PROGRESS**

From March 8 to 10, 2025, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,169 U.S. likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and recalled presidential vote. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error associated with the sample size is  $\pm 3$  percentage points. Results for subgroups of the sample are subject to increased margins of error. Partisanship reflected in tabulations is based on self-identified party affiliation, not partisan registration. For more information please visit [dataforprogress.org/our-methodology](https://dataforprogress.org/our-methodology).

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,169 unless otherwise specified.

## [1] Lawmakers are considering funding levels for each of the following.

For each, please say if you think we should increase or decrease its federal funding, or if we should keep federal funding at its current levels.

### — Social Security

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase federal funding for this	65	69	68	60	65	65	45	75	67	61	63	67	59
We should keep federal funding at current levels	27	23	28	31	26	29	41	21	24	33	19	28	26
We should decrease federal funding for this	3	4	2	4	3	4	7	2	3	4	7	2	10
Don't know	4	4	3	5	6	2	7	2	5	2	11	3	5
Weighted N	1,169	463	266	440	624	545	391	778	753	416	125	835	153

**[2]** Lawmakers are considering funding levels for each of the following.

For each, please say if you think we should increase or decrease its federal funding, or if we should keep federal funding at its current levels.

— Medicare

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase federal funding for this	64	75	64	54	67	62	54	69	68	59	72	63	63
We should keep federal funding at current levels	26	17	30	34	23	30	29	25	22	34	15	29	26
We should decrease federal funding for this	5	5	3	7	5	6	10	3	5	5	6	4	8
Don't know	4	3	4	6	6	3	7	3	5	3	8	4	3
Weighted N	1,169	463	266	440	624	545	391	778	753	416	125	835	153

**[3]** Lawmakers are considering funding levels for each of the following.

For each, please say if you think we should increase or decrease its federal funding, or if we should keep federal funding at its current levels.

— Medicaid

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase federal funding for this	53	66	50	41	55	51	53	53	56	47	66	50	50
We should keep federal funding at current levels	35	28	38	40	32	37	34	35	32	39	20	37	40
We should decrease federal funding for this	8	4	8	13	8	9	8	9	6	12	7	9	6
Don't know	4	2	5	6	6	3	5	4	5	3	7	4	5
Weighted N	1,169	463	266	440	624	545	391	778	753	416	125	835	153

**[4]** Lawmakers are considering funding levels for each of the following.

For each, please say if you think we should increase or decrease its federal funding, or if we should keep federal funding at its current levels.

— Public education

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase federal funding for this	52	68	49	36	54	49	58	48	51	53	56	50	52
We should keep federal funding at current levels	30	23	35	32	28	31	26	31	30	28	23	31	24
We should decrease federal funding for this	13	5	9	25	11	16	8	16	12	16	12	14	18
Don't know	5	3	6	7	7	3	7	4	7	3	9	5	7
Weighted N	1,169	463	266	440	624	545	391	778	753	416	125	835	153

**[5]** Lawmakers are considering funding levels for each of the following.

For each, please say if you think we should increase or decrease its federal funding, or if we should keep federal funding at its current levels.

— Roads, bridges, and public transportation

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase federal funding for this	63	68	62	58	59	67	57	66	62	64	54	64	64
We should keep federal funding at current levels	30	25	31	34	31	28	33	28	29	32	29	30	25
We should decrease federal funding for this	3	4	3	3	3	3	5	2	3	3	8	2	6
Don't know	4	3	5	5	6	2	6	3	5	2	9	3	6
Weighted N	1,169	463	266	440	624	545	391	778	753	416	125	835	153

**[6]** Lawmakers are considering funding levels for each of the following.

For each, please say if you think we should increase or decrease its federal funding, or if we should keep federal funding at its current levels.

— Renewable energy and energy-efficiency projects

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase federal funding for this	45	65	41	26	44	46	51	42	43	48	46	43	50
We should keep federal funding at current levels	33	25	38	39	34	32	31	34	34	32	36	31	33
We should decrease federal funding for this	15	5	14	25	12	17	8	18	14	16	8	19	10
Don't know	7	5	7	10	10	5	9	7	9	4	10	7	6
Weighted N	1,169	463	266	440	624	545	391	778	753	416	125	835	153

**[7]** Lawmakers are considering funding levels for each of the following.

For each, please say if you think we should increase or decrease its federal funding, or if we should keep federal funding at its current levels.

— Artificial intelligence research and development

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase federal funding for this	20	18	17	23	16	25	25	17	18	24	29	18	22
We should keep federal funding at current levels	42	46	40	40	42	43	44	42	42	44	41	43	44
We should decrease federal funding for this	28	27	31	28	31	25	22	31	29	26	18	29	27
Don't know	9	9	12	9	12	7	9	10	11	7	12	9	7
Weighted N	1,169	463	266	440	624	545	391	778	753	416	125	835	153

**[8]** Lawmakers are considering funding levels for each of the following.

For each, please say if you think we should increase or decrease its federal funding, or if we should keep federal funding at its current levels.

— NASA funding and space exploration

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase federal funding for this	24	26	19	24	18	30	26	22	24	23	19	23	28
We should keep federal funding at current levels	48	48	51	47	47	50	44	51	47	50	45	50	42
We should decrease federal funding for this	20	18	22	19	23	16	20	20	19	22	21	19	25
Don't know	9	7	8	10	12	4	10	8	10	5	16	8	6
Weighted N	1,169	463	266	440	624	545	391	778	753	416	125	835	153

**[9]** Lawmakers are considering funding levels for each of the following.

For each, please say if you think we should increase or decrease its federal funding, or if we should keep federal funding at its current levels.

— Cryptocurrency and blockchain development

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase federal funding for this	10	9	7	12	8	12	18	6	9	12	15	8	15
We should keep federal funding at current levels	29	26	29	31	25	34	37	24	28	30	35	27	42
We should decrease federal funding for this	45	52	46	36	49	40	32	51	44	45	30	49	29
Don't know	17	13	17	20	19	15	13	19	19	12	20	17	14
Weighted N	1,169	463	266	440	624	545	391	778	753	416	125	835	153

**[10]** The federal government is considering establishing a Crypto Strategic Reserve, which would use government spending to acquire and hold cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin and Ethereum as part of the national reserves, similar to how it holds gold or foreign currency as a financial asset.

Do you support or oppose this proposal?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Strongly support	11	8	5	18	6	16	15	9	10	13	15	10	19
Somewhat support	23	21	25	23	23	23	29	20	21	25	24	23	19
Somewhat oppose	22	21	24	22	24	20	24	22	22	22	22	22	22
Strongly oppose	29	38	32	18	29	29	19	34	28	31	25	30	26
Don't know	15	12	15	19	18	12	13	16	18	9	13	15	14
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	34	29	30	41	29	39	44	29	31	38	39	33	38
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	51	59	56	40	53	49	43	56	50	53	47	52	48
SUPPORT (NET)	-17	-30	-26	+1	-24	-10	+1	-27	-19	-15	-8	-19	-10
Weighted N	1,169	463	266	440	624	545	391	778	753	416	125	835	153